

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Karachi)

Order

Appeal No. 4754-05/25

Zubair Ahmed Jamali

Vs

Pakistan Railways

November 17, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body is shared with the applicant vide letter dated 15-07-2025 under RGL No. 153052768 and the applicant vide letter dated 01-09-2025. The public body vide letter dated 28-06-2025 again furnished certified copies of the required documents which was again shared with the applicant vide letter dated 17-10-2025. The Commission has examined the contents of the information request and the information furnished by the public body and found that the information furnished by the public body meetings with the requirement of the information request, hence the appeal is disposed of in the manner that if the applicant believes that any false, incomplete or misleading information has been furnished by the public body he may file complaint to the Commission. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Karachi)

Order

Appeal No. 4567-03/2025

Kashif Shahzad Khan

Vs

Pakistan Standards and Quality Control Authority (PSQCA)

November 17, 2025 Shahid Hussain appeared on behalf of the Pakistan Standard Quality Control Authority.

1. The public body wide letter dated Nil furnished required information along with year book for the year 1920 of PSQCA and copy of the said information was shared with the applicant vide notice dated 30-09-2025 under RGL No. 154261881. Period of more than 20 days as required under the regulations has expired and no rejoinder-objection has been received from the applicant. Therefore, it appears that the applicant is satisfied with the information shared with him. Hence, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Karachi)

Order

Appeal No. 4756-06/2025

Syed Raza Ali Gardezi

Vs

Agha Khan University, Karachi

November 17, 2025 Applicant in person. Nobody appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. On 06-10-2025, an application was filed by the public body for recalling the order dated 11-08-2025 and it was dismissed with further order to proceed for obtaining the written reply of the show cause notice to the public body already issued by this Commission. However, meanwhile, the public body filed a writ petition before the Sindh High Court.

2. The Sindh High Court by order dated 06-10-2025 has suspended the order of this Commission dated 11-08-2025 and the show cause notice.

3. The applicant has also admitted that the order of the Commission and the show cause notice, both have been suspended by the Sindh High Court. In view of the above, the appeal is sine die consigned. However, if the fate of the writ petition is decided in the favor of the applicant, he may approach this Commission for implementation proceedings.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Karachi)

Order

Appeal No. 4612-03/2025

Farooq Dawood

Vs

Employees Old Age Benefit Institute (EOBI)

November 17, 2025 None appeared on behalf of the public body however, information furnished by the public body was shared with the applicant vide letter dated 07-10-2025 and the applicant vide letter dated 14-10-2025 acknowledged its receipt and has expressed over the same. In view of above, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoab Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Karachi)

Order

Appeal No. 4825-07/2025

Shakeel Ahmed

Vs

Employees Old Age Benefit Institute (EOBI)

November 17, 2025 Nobody appeared on behalf of a public body or the applicant. However, the public body vide letter dated 18-9-2025 furnished written reply answering all the queries of the applicant. Said information was shared with the applicant by letter dated 7-10-2025 under RGL number 1542620444. No objection has been received from the applicant. It appears that the applicant is satisfied with the response of the public body. The Commission has also examined the information request and the response of the public body and found that it meets the requirements of the information request. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Karachi)

Order

Appeal No.

4331-12/2024

Agha Shahid Majeed Khan

Vs

Port Qasim Authority

November 17, 2025 None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body vide letter dated 06-10-2025 furnished the required information which was shared with the applicant by order dated 07-10-2025 under RGL No. 15462319. No objection has been received from the applicant. It appears that the applicant is satisfied with the response of the public body. The Commission has also examined the information request and the response of the public body and found that it meets the requirements of the information request. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Karachi)

Order

Appeal No. 4114-10/2024

Karsasp D. Sheikhdar

Vs

K-Electric

November 17, 2025 Irtazaa Afzaal, General Manager, Head Corporates, K-Electric appeared on behalf of the public body. K-Electric. He has filed an application with the submission to adjourn this case sine die as a writ petition has been filed against the order of the Commission before Islamabad High Court and an interim order has been passed by the said court not to take any coercive action.

2. The Commission has examined the contents of the application and record and found that the Islamabad High Court has restrained this Commission from taking any contempt proceeding against K-Electric. The Commission has already clarified that no contempt proceedings have been initiated against the public body, but proceedings have been initiated under Section 20(1)(f) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017, which is in respect of imposing penalty if the order is not complied with.
3. However, since, according to the public body, material questions have been raised in the writ petition with regard to the jurisdiction of this Commission and challenging certain provisions of the Act, therefore, in view of the request by the public body, the appeal is sine die adjourned. However, if the fate of the writ petition is decided in favor of the applicant, he may approach this Commission for implementation of the order of the Commission.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner